



Sun 6/12/2016 9:38 AM

(b) (6)

Re: Portland Harbor Superfund site cleanup

To ☐ HarborComments

To Whom it May Concern:

On Friday, June 10, I read an article by Kelly House in the Oregonian ("Going Public: Time to talk about river cleanup"). The article noted that the EPA plans to rely on natural recovery and does not include active cleanup work. I am writing to urge you to amend your plan so that the site is restored as soon as possible (in thirty years I may not be around to enjoy the results of your recovery efforts!), and when it is restored, the river is so clean that people aren't limited to eating catfish caught from it no more than twenty times per year.

Your agency has it within your power to clean that site and ensure that the animals that live in it are healthy. You are duty bound to honor the public good and do everything in your power to restore and deliver a healthy habitat. I urge you to hold those that damaged the site in the first place accountable and have them contribute to the cost of the river cleanup. It's the right thing to do.

Best regards,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)